Class and Section: MIS4173 Date: 02/20/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 1**

Team 8:

Christina Bailey, Courtney Johnson, Kelly Rawls, JT Taylor

SR&R 1 Documentation Grade: **86** SR&R 1 Presentation Grade: **85**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 1 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your first sprint. I know you will continue to improve. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (02/20/2022) |

#### SR&R 1 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 1.5 |
| **SR&R 1 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents** |  |  |
| Documents from Document Backlog | **10** | 9 |
| Initial Use Case Diagram | **15** | 13 |
| Initial Context Diagram | **20** | 17.5 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Initial Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 0 |
| **Team Roles & Team Charter** | **6** | 5 |
| **Project Plan** | **10** | 10 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 86 |

#### SR&R 1 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Company Background & Current Environment | **5** | 5 |
| Problem Analysis discussion (BPA, BPI, BPR) | **15** | 15 |
| Proposed System Objectives, and Constraints | **5** | 5 |
| Expected Benefits | **5** | 5 |
| Initial Use Case Diagram | **15** | 13 |
| Initial Context Diagram | **15** | 12 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Initial Product Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 1 Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 1 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 1 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 0 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 85 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* First, this is a business document and therefore it needs to be all single-spaced, no paragraph spacing before or after—should be set to 0pt, one blank line space between paragraphs, a sans-serif font such as Arial, Helvetica, or Calibri
  + It should not look like an academic paper
  + Spacing should be the same under headings
  + Table of Contents numbers should be single numbers—just put the number that the item begins on
  + Please put items in appropriate order—see Sprint 6 Grade Sheet
  + If you have questions, please let me know
* See comments about UCD and CD below
* Sprint items
  + Product Backlog looks fine. Why were the items renumbered? UCD went from #10 to #6.
  + There are no user requirements added to the product backlog—hopefully the next one will have them
  + Sprint 1 backlog is good
  + Status can be listed as OPEN or CLOSED if you like
  + SCRUM meeting log is okay—the team only met three times?
  + Burndown chart is good. Why is 50 in C2 and not times estimated for each item in column C?
  + Sprint 1 Team Evaluation was not included
* Team roles and Team charter is good—normally it is signed
* The Project plan is good. You just need to populate the remaining sprints on the timeline
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* The presentation you uploaded is not the presentation you presented—the one uploaded is missing the sprint items, but you did present them, so you get full credit for those items
* You did a good job on the content you provided
* On the UCD:
  + Actors should be on the left side of the use cases
  + Secondary actors should be squared rectangles, not rounded rectangles
  + Actors and secondary actors should be the color of their lines
  + All lines for each actor type should start at the same point and be straight lines to the use case
  + Please remove the vertical rectangle that is around the use cases
* The Context Diagram:
  + Entities should be squared corner rectangles, not rounded
  + Customer entity is missing, but it is on the UCD
  + So, the tenant does not receive any information from the system?
* No PSPI was provided in the presentation

Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.72/4 = 68%. You can see I gave you a 85%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not.